

See corresponding article on page 350.


Vitamin D deficiency during pregnancy: an ongoing epidemic^{1,2}

Bruce W Hollis and Carol L Wagner

In this issue of the Journal, van der Meer et al (1) report a high prevalence of vitamin D deficiency during pregnancy in non-Western women in the Netherlands. These investigators found in their study that >50% of women with darker pigment were vitamin D deficient, whereas only 8% of Western women were defined as deficient. Even at first glance, this is a truly remarkable statistic. However, the actual percentage is far greater than reported. The reason for this is quite simple—the authors of this study were very conservative in their definition of vitamin D deficiency. They defined deficiency as circulating 25-hydroxyvitamin D [25(OH)D] concentrations <25 nmol/L (10 ng/mL). As far as we are concerned, this is an old definition of vitamin D deficiency, and many investigators now define deficiency as < 80 nmol (32 ng/mL) circulating 25(OH)D/L (2, 3). This deficiency cutoff is now based on an array of biomarkers that are adversely affected by nutritional vitamin D deficiency rather than on Gaussian distributions of 25(OH)D concentrations in populations, as were used in the past (2).

Why should anyone be concerned about vitamin D deficiency during pregnancy? After all, the skeletal problems encountered appear to be corrected simply by vitamin D supplementation after delivery. The answer is simple: the function of vitamin D is now known to extend well beyond skeletal integrity (2, 4–7), and thus it would be a tragedy to ignore this information. The next question is, how much vitamin D is required to correct this deficiency and achieve circulating 25(OH)D concentrations of >80 nmol/L? It is certain that, in the absence of meaningful sun exposure, the current adequate intake of 200 IU vitamin D/d is far less than enough. Such an intake will do nothing to maintain nutritional vitamin D status, let alone increase it (2, 8). To increase nutritional vitamin D to meaningful concentrations, dietary intakes of ≥2000 IU/d may be required (2, 8). Clearly, studies investigating the true vitamin D requirement during pregnancy are warranted.

Indeed, we believe that these studies are essential. As mentioned earlier, we believe that they are important because vitamin D deficiency during pregnancy not only is linked to maternal skeletal preservation and fetal skeletal formation but also is vital to the fetal “imprinting” that may affect chronic disease susceptibility later in life as well as soon after birth (5). One need only review a recent report by Javaid et al (9) to appreciate the effect of maternal nutritional vitamin D status on childhood bone mineral accrual. The same may well be true for the risks of developing autoimmune diseases, such as multiple sclerosis (which has recently been linked to seasonality of birth; 10) and rheumatoid arthritis, or of conditions such as malignancy (4, 11). Most important is the role of nutritional vitamin D status in activating the human innate immune system that is reported by Liu et al (7).

This seminal article described the way in which circulating 25(OH)D, through the induction of cathelicidin in macrophages, is able to contain *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. This observation could have profound implications with respect to the treatment of a variety of infections. A final important point is that the induction of cathelicidin in this study did not occur when circulating concentrations of 25(OH)D were ≈20 nmol/L but, rather, occurred only when serum was repleted with 80 nmol 25(OH)D/L. This biomarker of nutritional vitamin D status clearly shows that higher circulating concentrations of 25(OH)D are beneficial to human health. Who would have thought that a “simple nutrient” could possess such global health potential? 

Neither author had a personal or financial conflict of interest with respect to the study by van der Meer et al.

REFERENCES

1. van der Meer IM, Karamali NS, Boeke AJP, et al. High prevalence of vitamin D deficiency in pregnant non-Western women in The Hague, Netherlands. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2006;84:350–3.
2. Hollis BW. Circulating 25-hydroxyvitamin D levels indicative of vitamin D sufficiency: implications for establishing a new effective dietary intake recommendation for vitamin D. *J Nutr* 2005;135:317–22.
3. Dawson-Hughes B, Heaney RP, Holick MF, Lips P, Meunier PJ, Vieth R. Vitamin D round table. In: Burckhardt P, Dawson-Hughes B, Heaney R, eds. *Nutritional aspects of osteoporosis*. 2nd ed. Burlington, MA: Elsevier Science and Technology Books, 2004:263–70.
4. Giovannucci EG, Liu Y, Rimm EB, et al. Perspective study of predictors of vitamin D status and cancer incidence and mortality in men. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 2006;98:451–9.
5. McGrath J. Does “imprinting” with low prenatal vitamin D contribute to the risk of various adult disorders? *Med Hypotheses* 2001;56:367–71.
6. Eyles D, Brown J, MacKay-Sim A, McGrath J, Feron F. Vitamin D₃ and brain development. *Neuroscience* 2003;118:641–53.
7. Liu PT, Stenger S, Li H, et al. Toll-like receptor triggering of a vitamin D-mediated human antimicrobial response. *Science* 2006;311:1770–3.
8. Heaney RP, Davies RM, Chen TC, et al. Human serum 25-hydroxycholecalciferol response to extended oral dosing with cholecalciferol. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2003;77:204–10.
9. Javaid M, Crozier S, Harvey N, et al. Maternal vitamin D status during pregnancy and childhood bone mass at 9 years: a longitudinal study. *Lancet* 2006;367:36–43.
10. Willer CJ, Dyment DA, Sadovnick AD, et al. Timing of birth and risk of multiple sclerosis: population based study. *BMJ* 2005;330:120.
11. Merlino L, Curtis J, Mikuls T, et al. Vitamin D intake is inclusively associated with rheumatoid arthritis. *Arthritis Rheum* 2004;50:72–7.

¹ From the Department of Pediatrics, Darby Children’s Research Institute, Medical University of South Carolina, Charleston, SC.

² Address reprint requests to BW Hollis, Department of Pediatrics, Darby Children’s Research Institute, 173 Ashley Avenue, Room 313, Charleston, SC 29425. E-mail: hollisb@musc.edu.

